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**Abstract**

Personal ornaments are commonly linked to the emergence of symbolic behaviour. Although their presence in Africa dates back to the Middle Stone Age, evidence of ornament manufacturing in
Eurasia are sporadically observed in Middle Palaeolithic contexts, and wide diffusion have been until now well documented only since the Upper Palaeolithic.

Nevertheless, little is known during the period between ca. 50,000 and 40,000 years ago (kya), when modern humans colonized Eurasia replacing existing hominin populations such as the Neandertals, and a variety of so called “transitional” and/or early Upper Palaeolithic cultures emerged. Here we present shell ornaments from the Uluzzian site of Grotta del Cavallo in Italy, southern Europe. Our results show evidence of local production of shell beads for ornamental purposes as well as a trend toward tusk bead standardization over time. The temporal interval of the layers of interest (45-40 kya) makes Cavallo the earliest known shell ornament making context in Europe.
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1. Introduction

The use of personal ornaments in human history is a key issue to understand the evolutionary processes that led to modern humankind beyond a biological perspective. Over the past decades various hypotheses have been expressed on this topic, based on the different perspectives of anthropology, ethnography, sociology, and linguistics (White 1989; d’Errico et al. 2003; McElreath et al. 2003; Abadia and Nowell 2015).

Personal adornments are interpreted as markers of population structure from a cultural/linguistic and social point of view since the beginning of the Upper Palaeolithic (Vanhaeren and d’Errico 2006; Stiner et al. 2013), but they are also proof of an initial aesthetic perception (Leroi-Gourhan 1964) and their presence is the best archaeological evidence of shared symbolic language linked to individual appearance (White 1989; d’Errico et al. 2003). Unfortunately, the vast majority of deliberate body modifications (including tattoos, scarifications, ear piercing, lip and neck stretching...
and other numerous kinds of alterations aimed to modify one’s own physical appearance) are embedded in perishable materials and cannot be retrieved from Palaeolithic contexts. This makes high concentrations of non-functional elements – such as the one presented here - even more relevant and informative on the emergence of socially recognized symbolic expressions (which may or may not have involved other kinds of body alterations).

The earliest known evidence of ornaments is associated with Modern Humans (MHs), and dates back to 135-70 ka BP in Africa and in the Levant (Vanhaeren et al. 2006; d’Errico et al. 2009; Vanhaeren et al. 2013; d’Errico and Backwell 2016). In the latter region, evidence of personal ornaments is still consistently found in the period ranging from the Levantine Middle to Upper Palaeolithic (Bosch et al. 2019), while there is broad consensus on the fact that, in Europe, the systematic production of ornaments became a substantial component of material culture since the Protoaurignacian, an Upper Palaeolithic culture dated between 42-36 kya cal BP and confidently attributed to MH (Benazzi et al. 2015). There is no doubt, however, that during the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition (50-40 kya) ornaments were already becoming more common in the region. Such a trend documents a change in human social behavior compared to the scanty evidence of ornaments derived from animals and seashells attested in Middle Palaeolithic contexts attributed to Neandertals (Peresani et al. 2011; Peresani et al. 2013; Romandini et al. 2014; Radovčić et al. 2015; Hoffmann et al. 2018).

Archaeological assemblages of continental Europe dated to this period yielded sporadic evidence of ornaments and ornament manufacturing in animal bone and teeth (d’Errico et al. 1998; Zilhão 2007), with the exception of Châtelperronian contexts in France which also included a few seashells (Zilhão 2007) (Fig.1). On the other hand, personal ornaments uncovered in Uluzzian assemblages (~45-40 kya) of Mediterranean Europe only consist of seashells (Palma di Cesnola 1989; Fiocchi 1998; Stiner 2010). Findings of this kind are abundant at Klissoura (Greece; n=32), Grotta della Cala (southern Italy; n=30), and Riparo Broion (northern Italy; n=6). Grotta del Cavallo (southern Italy) stands absolutely out with 531 seashells distributed across the entire Uluzzian sequence, dated
between 45.5 - 39.9 ka cal, whose attribution to MHs (Benazzi et al. 2011; Douka et al. 2014) has been recently reconfirmed (Moroni et al. 2018).

**Fig 1 about here**

Nonetheless, a systematic study of the Uluzzian shell assemblage was never undertaken. The abundance of shell beads found at Grotta del Cavallo offers a privileged perspective for a systematic reassessment of ornament making in this and other Uluzzian assemblages. The key question is therefore to understand whether systematic manufacturing and personal use of shell beads took place at the site, and therefore if Cavallo back dates to ~45 kya the beginning of one of the most salient traits of Upper Palaeolithic Europe.

Here we present a thorough analysis of the entire sequence of shell assemblages found in the Uluzzian layers at Grotta del Cavallo. At this site the Uluzzian can be followed from the archaic (layer EIII) to the evolved-late phase (layer EII-I and D), sandwiched by the tephra Y-6 at 45.5 ± 1.0 ka (Zanchetta et al. 2018) and Y-5 (Campanian Ignimbrite) at 39.85 ± 0.14 ka (Giaccio et al. 2017; Zanchetta et al. 2018). All dates obtained from shells (5 *Antalis* sp. from EII-I, spit E-D, DII and DIIIb, 1 *Lembulus pella* from DIIIb - Benazzi et al. 2011) are consistent with the chronological frame established by the above mentioned tephra layers. Previous works maintained that the Uluzzian deposit was affected by important post-depositional disturbances and this might undermine the association between Uluzzian assemblage and modern humans (Gioia 1990; Zilhão 2007; Zilhão et al. 2015). Nevertheless, more recent research has definitely confirmed the integrity of the archaeological deposit and the reliability of anthropological interpretations (Moroni et al. 2018; Zanchetta et al. 2018; see *Supplementary Information Appendix, Section I*).

Here, we ascertain the presence of anthropogenic intervention on tusk shells, gastropods, and bivalves as well as their use as personal ornaments through the combined use of quantitative analysis of morphology, experimental tests, use-wear, Sr isotopes, and micropalaeontological examination. Results are compared with the broader picture emerging from other Uluzzian contexts and show evidence of standardisation and local bead production for ornamental purposes.
2. Materials - The shell assemblage from Grotta del Cavallo

The Uluzzian layers of Cavallo yielded 618 shell remains (531 NISP), mostly retrieved in the uppermost layers DII and DI. Among them, 285 can be assigned to 32 taxa (Table 1 and Fig. 2) while the majority is still undetermined due to their bad state of preservation. Among identified classes, scaphopods are the most represented and ubiquitous one across the entire sequence, while gastropods and bivalves are considerably less abundant and clustered in the upper layers DI and DII (n=124, of which 28 are pierced). The archaic Uluzzian layer yielded, in addition to 67 scaphopods, 7 bivalves and 33 gastropods, one of which consists of a pierced *Trivia neritea* (Fig. 2b). Species richness increases over time and reaches its maximum in the uppermost layers with 22 identified species encompassing both edible and not edible ones. The most represented species are *Trivia neritea*, *Lembulus pella* and *Glycymeris* sp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>E III</th>
<th>EII-I</th>
<th>E-D</th>
<th>DII</th>
<th>DI</th>
<th>D (DI+DII)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasteropode undet.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Patella rustica</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Jujubinus striatus</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phorcus</em> sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phorcus turbinatus</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Homalopoma sanguineum</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bittium reticulatum</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cerithium</em> sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cerithium vulgatum</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turritella</em> sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melaraphe neritoides</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trivia pulex</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Numbers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naticarius hebraeus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euspira catena</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muricidae undet.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tritia sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tritia cuvieri</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tritia neritea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tritia nitida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbella rustica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total gastropods</strong></td>
<td>33 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lembulus pella</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mytilus galloprovincialis</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycymeris sp.</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycymeris nummaria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecten jacobaeus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondylus gaederopus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthocardia sp.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callista chione</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corbula gibba</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total bivalves</strong></td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antalis sp.</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antalis vulgaris</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antalis dentalis/inaequicostata</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fissidentalium rectum</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total scaphopods</strong></td>
<td>67 (18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total NISP</strong></td>
<td>107 (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total NR</strong></td>
<td>115 (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Malacological assemblage from Grotta del Cavallo. Numbers in brackets are for pierced specimens. The taxonomic analysis is based on the updated datasets available online on the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS; www.marinespecies.org), also the number of rests (NR) and
the number of identified specimens (NISP) have been used in order to define the right amount of the assemblage.

Shells from layer EIII have been mostly found in an area of about 4 square meters (squares E13, F11, F12 and G11) (94.1%) and to a lesser extent (5.9%) in the 1963-66 excavation trench corresponding to an area of about 2.5-3 x 3.5 m (Moroni et al., 2018) (see SI Appendix, Section I for details and Fig.S1).

The ones from EII-I have been retrieved in square H11 and in 1963-1966 excavation trench. Shells from layers DII and DI come almost exclusively from two square meters (H11 and H7 - Fig. S1).

Only very few specimens from both layers were found in the 1963-66 excavation trench.

**Fig 2 about here**

### 3. Methods

The present research mostly focuses on tusk shells due to their abundance across all layers. Their bad state of preservation, however, required the design of experimental and quantitative analyses to:

a) understand whether these shells were collected from fossiliferous deposits rather than from thanatocoenoses (namely ‘gathered on the beach; b) ascertain the presence and the effects of anthropogenic breakage and post-depositional processes; c) analyse non-macroscopic morphological change over time.

### 3.1 Micropalaeontological and isotope analysis

The micropalaeontological content of several samples of sediment contained in the tusks from Grotta del Cavallo and in the Uluzzian layers of the cave was examined to establish if the shells were collected from nearby sedimentary outcrops or from beach deposits contemporaneous to the Uluzzian activity at the site. The material was examined through classic micropalaentological techniques, which include the preparation of washed samples for the analysis of microfossils and of
smear slides for calcareous nannofossils, as well as observation under a microscope (*SI appendix, Section II*).

*In situ* trace element analysis was carried out by means of LA-ICP-MS on eight samples of tusk specimens from layers E and D of Grotta del Cavallo to test their diagenetic preservation, and \textsuperscript{87}Sr/\textsuperscript{86}Sr ratio was analyzed by MC-ICP-MS to test the non-fossil origin of the archaeological samples (see Lugli et al. 2017) (*SI appendix, Section III*). Elemental and isotope analyses were conducted at the Centro Interdipartimentale Grandi Strumenti of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia.

### 3.2 Morphological analysis

All the archeological tusk shells were measured (length, maximum and minimum diameters) in accordance with normalized zoological parameters: the apex of the shell is anatomical dorsal, the large aperture is ventral and posterior, and the concave side is anatomically dorsal (Shimek and Steiner 1997).

The archeological and experimental tusk shells were classified according to the type of apical and basal fractures. Seven different types of fractures were described (Fig. 3 and Fig. S5):

a) Rectilinear: regular and straight fracture, perpendicular to the longest axis of the shell;
b) Oblique: regular and straight fracture, oblique to the longest axis of the shell;
c) Asymmetric-irregular: uneven fracture;
d) Symmetric-irregular: uneven fracture forming a symmetric profile;
e) Flute-mouth: uneven fracture taking the shape of a flute mouth;
f) Notch: fracture forming a notch;
g) Rectangular notch.
The degree of the fracture’s invasiveness and of the discontinuity of the fractured edge were also described. Rectilinear and oblique fractures, which usually have a straight edge, are degree 0. Jagged edges have a degree value included between 1 and 3, according to invasiveness of the alteration (Fig. 4).

Specimens were classified according to their remnant portion (entire, apical, central and basal). Given the general bad preservation of the archaeological shells, this classification is not based on zoological parameters. We consider entire or nearly entire the specimens where all the morphological features can be recognized. The apical (or distal) elements are those in which the posterior end is recognizable. Central (or mesial) portions are usually considered those elements showing scarce difference between maximum and minimum diameter. In the basal (or proximal) elements the base is present.

The possibility that a thread could go through the apical hole was considered as a critical discriminant factor. In this case, apical hole refers to the actual empty space within the shell, and may or may not coincide with the minimum diameter. A minimum threshold of 0.5 mm was established for this condition to be met, considering that sturdy horsehairs present with diameters ranging from 0.08 to 0.4 mm (Craveri 1931).

3.3 Experimental tests

Experimental tests for shell beads production and post-depositional damage was carried out only for tusk shells, due to their relevance among the whole assemblage and to the difficulty in evaluating the origin of the fracturing on this kind of shell. The experimental program involved the gathering of current specimens (mostly Antalis vulgaris) in the surroundings of Grotta del Cavallo, their breaking by bending, sawing, crushing (direct
per-
cussion) and trampling (for further details see SI Appendix, Section IV). The experimental shells
were measured and classified according to the same parameters used for the archaeological ones,
before and after each experimental test.
Possible technological attributes of gastropods and bivalves were instead evaluated by comparison
with literature data and available reference collections (Taborin 1993; Benghiat et al. 2009; Stiner et

3.4 Exploratory data analysis
Significant differences in unique variables such as length, minimum diameter, and maximum
diameter across layers were assessed through non-parametric tests due to small sample size and to
the presence of violations of the assumptions of parametric tests. More specifically, two-tailed
Mann-Whitney U test for independent study design was performed when only two groups were
compared, while Kruskal-Wallis test was used when more than two groups were to be compared
against each other. Associations between pairs of categorical variables were explored on
contingency tables via Correspondence Analysis using the function ca of the package ca (Nenadic
and Greenacre 2007) and through Pearson’s Chi-square test for independence. All analyses were run
in R version 3.4.4 (R Core Team 2018).

3.5 Diversity and similarity
Intra-layer diversity was measured through Gini-Simpson index of diversity and its numbers
equivalent (i.e. the exponential of Gini-Simpson index, following Jost 2006 and 2007 based on the
relative frequency of proximal fracture types, distal fracture types, and remnant portions obtained
for each layer. Results were obtained using respectively the functions H and d contained in the
package vegetarian (with order of diversity q=2; Charney and Record, 2012). Similarity between
layers was measured through Morisita-Horn index of overlap using the function sim.table of the
package vegetarian (with order of diversity q=2). Clustering of layers was obtained using
hierarchical clustering with Ward’s clustering criterion (Murtagh and Legendre 2014), i.e. dissimilarities were squared before cluster updating. All analyses were run in R version 3.4.4.

3.6 Taphonomic analysis

The taphonomic study of the malacological assemblage was performed on the NISP and it focused on three main kinds of alterations: pre-depositional alterations (e.g. marine abrasion, predation by other molluscs and bioerosion); intentional/unintentional anthropogenic alterations (e.g. thermic alterations, ochre traces and human modifications due to consumption or ornamental purpose); and post-depositional transformations (e.g. fragmentations and decalcification).

3.7 Use-wear analysis

The shells were analyzed by means of a Hirox KH 7700 3D digital microscope (Arrighi and Borgia 2009) using two different optics: a MX-G 5040Z zoom lens equipped with an AD-5040 Lows objective lens (20-50x) and a coaxial vertical lighting MXG-10C zoom lens and an OL-140II objective lens (140-560x). The analytical criteria (surface polishing, rounding, faceting) for the functional interpretation of the ornaments build upon previous analyses of prehistoric shells described in literature (e.g. Taborin 1993; Vanhaeren and d’Errico 2001; Bonnardin 2007).

4. Results

4.1 Taphonomic processes

The shell assemblage from Grotta del Cavallo exhibits traces of decalcification (Table 2), in particular in the case of tusk shells. Among the latter, some specimens exhibit partially exfoliated surfaces that probably facilitated post-depositional fragmentation. Sea wash is also documented. Only a few specimens are affected by alterations due to thermic effects, predator activity or reducing conditions in soil.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layers/Spit</th>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>NISP</th>
<th>Sea washed</th>
<th>Boring sponge</th>
<th>Predators</th>
<th>Burned</th>
<th>Decalc.</th>
<th>Post-dep. cracks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E III</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scap.</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E II-I</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scap.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-D</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scap.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D II</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scap.</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1?</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scap.</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D whole</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scap.</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total %</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Taphonomy of the malacological assemblage from Grotta del Cavallo. Anthropic traces are not included in this table, as they are discussed in the following chapters.
4.2 Scaphopods

4.2.1 Fossil and non-fossil origin of the archaeological specimens.

Since *Fissidentalium rectum* is an extinct species, this specimen was probably collected at a fossiliferous Pleistocene formation cropping in the Salento region (Largioli et al. 1969).

Both micropaleontological analyses (*SI Appendix, Section II*) carried out on the sediment preserved inside the tusks, and Sr isotopic analysis (*SI Appendix, Section III*), excluded a fossil origin of all the other taxa.

4.2.2 Experimental study

Manufacturing was experimentally tested on complete and almost complete specimens collected on the present-day beach in the surroundings of Grotta del Cavallo. Naturally broken specimens usually lack the apical portion. Fractures are frequently asymmetric-irregular (~60%) (see paragraph *Methods* and the *SI Appendix, Section IV* for description of the methodology applied).

Rectilinear (~24%) and oblique (~8%) fractures are less frequent. Many elements have their natural basis preserved. The fracturing degree is predominantly 1 (~46%) and, to a lesser extent, 2 (~24%).

Experimental manufacturing revealed an association (see *SI Appendix, Section V*) of rectilinear and oblique fractures with bending (~91%) and sawing (~46%), as well as an association between irregular fractures and crushing (~60%). Unlike other actions, sawing can produce a tiny

rectangular notch due to the pressure exerted on the starting point.

Notch fractures, which can be considered lesser developed flute-mouth fractures, cannot be clearly related to a specific bead making technique. These kinds of fractures rarely occur, although their frequency is a bit higher in crushing tests. Vanhaeren and d’Errico (2001) suggest that notch and flute-mouth fractures originate when a needle is used for stringing a thread into a shell. Comparable notch fractures, however, were obtained from our experimental trampling tests and from some
explorative suspension tests when one tusk was inserted into the other, as also observed in other research (Álvarez Fernández 2006).

The occurrence of clear cut-marks on the shells is consistently associated with sawing. Bending is mostly related to fractures of degree 0 (85%) and to a lesser extent degree 1 (~14%), sawing almost exclusively to degree 0 (~46%) and 1 (~50%), whereas the effect of crushing is more variable, including degrees 1 (~36%), 2 (50%) and 3 (3%).

Experimental trampling did not produce substantial evidence of breakage, although it generally results in a more conspicuous presence of chipping at the base of the shell. Fractures associated with trampling are mostly asymmetric-irregular and to a lesser extent flute-mouth and notch usually with degree 2 or 3.

4.2.3 Morphological and use-wear analysis

Scaphopods are the most recorded class in all the Uluzzian layers of Grotta del Cavallo. When identified at the species level, they are Antalis dentalis/inaequicostata and Antalis vulgaris (Table 1). Noteworthy is the presence of a single fossil specimen, probable Fissidentalium rectum in the entire macro-layer D.

Central and apical portions are the most common ones in all the layers (SI Appendix, Section VI Table S14). In the uppermost layers (D), short apical portions are particularly abundant (32 out of 261), usually truncated with rectilinear fractures at their base (Fig. 5).

Fig 5 about here

Entire or almost entire specimens are considerably less frequent (SI Appendix, Section VI Table S14). Among these, specimens preserving the apical portions (tot=91; nEIII=20, nEII-I= 5, nD=66) are not suitable for suspension, since their apical holes are too narrow to be stringed.

Rectilinear fractures, followed by irregular asymmetric ones, are the dominant types in all layers (Table 3, SI Appendix, Section VI Table S15-16). In layer EII-I there is a slight predominance of flute-mouth fracture (~25 %) and rectilinear fracture (25%) compared to and asymmetric irregular
Rectangular notch fractures related to sawing are attested on 1 specimen from layer EII-I and on 2 specimens respectively from DII and D whole (SI Appendix, Section VI Fig. S5). None of these shells exhibit cut-marks. Scratches comparable to those experimentally produced by cutting with a flint tool (SI Appendix, Section VI Fig. S5 and Fig 6) are recorded only on one tusk (layer DII), which displays rectilinear fractures at both ends and some sort of marks overlapping with the basal one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EIII</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>38.8 %</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
<td>23.1 %</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EII-I</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-D</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DII</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D whole</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 - Frequencies of the fractures identified on tusk shells by layer

The majority of fractures exhibit degree 0 (50.8%), and frequency tends to decrease with increasing fracture degree (degree 1=22.8%; degree 2=19.8%; degree 3=6.0%) (SI Appendix, Section VI Tables S17 – S22). A different trend is registered in layer EII-I, where degree 2 is the predominant one.

**Fig 6 about here**

The retrieval of two shells inserted into each other is documented in three cases (layers EII-I, E-D, and DI). This eventuality can be due either to human intervention or taphonomic causes (Fig. 7). Intentional insertion of tusk shells into each other, especially for the making of necklaces, is well
documented in both archaeological and ethnographic evidence (Ruppert and Bernet 2001; Dimitrijević 2014). Otherwise, this occurrence was also observed during the gathering of present-day tusks used for experimental tests, even if in the whole collected sample (1908 tusks) only one case of insertion was reported. Since the tusks retrieved in layer DI could be easily separated, the parts of the surface originally located inside the external tusk were analyzed. This portion appears considerably better preserved and presents some polished areas, while the exposed surface exhibits the usual altered appearance (Fig. 7).

**Fig 7 about here**

Consequently, the insertion can be considered intentional and not due to post-depositional processes. Unexpectedly, the same pattern was detectable on the surface of the external shell. In this case two scenarios can be envisaged:

1. The external shell was in its turn inserted into another shell, which is now lost.
2. This differential surface preservation could be attributed to post-depositional phenomena acting with different intensity on the two halves (partial burial for some time?)

According to the first hypothesis, we can imagine an adornment object composed of several tusks, inserted into each other, as largely reported in archaeological and ethnographic contexts (Ruppert and Bernet 2001; Dimitrijević 2014).

A number of tusks (11) from layer EIII are coated with red pigment, usually on the whole outer and inner surfaces (Fig 8). Other items (14) preserve only a few residues of red coloring. They were all retrieved in two adjacent square meters of the excavation grid (SI Appendix, Section VI Fig. S1) and 20 out of 25 ochered items are suitable for suspension, possibly suggesting that the shells were colored in an ornamental perspective.

Traces of red pigment were also recovered on a specimen from layer EII-I. The coloring substance is distributed inside the tusk and spreads longitudinally (Fig 8).
Fig 8 about here

Usually, scaphopods are not well-preserved due to decalcification. Only in layer EIII a good number (30) of tusks exhibits well-preserved surfaces. About half of them (18) show weak traces of polishing on the outer surface of one or both ends (Fig. 6), often clustered on a limited portion of the rim (Fig 6). Such traces appear more frequently in central (9) and apical (7) portions, and to a lesser extent in basal ones (1) and almost complete (1) shells. Polishing traces are associated with rectilinear, asymmetric, symmetric irregular, and notch fractures.

In the uppermost layers the state of preservation of tusks did not allow for a reliable evaluation of suspension traces. Nevertheless, when the pristine surface of the shell is preserved, slightly rounded edges on one or both extremities can be observed, although it is difficult to identify actual patterns and therefore to discriminate between usage (suspension) and post-depositional processes.

Specimens displaying such traces are 3 in layer EII-I, 3 in split E-D, and 25 in unit D. It usually consists of central portions mainly associated with rectilinear or notch fractures.

4.2.4 Analysis of variability over time

Quantitative analyses were run on a controlled subset (n=255) obtained excluding observations uncovered in the E-D split and shells generically attributed either to layer DI or DII. Records with missing information were also not considered (n=4). In this case, sampled shells are almost equally distributed across layers with the exception of EII-I (nEIII=67, nEII-I=17, nDII=87, nDI=84).

Results show that shell minimum and maximum diameter are significantly wider in *Antalis sp.* than in *Antalis vulgaris* and *Antalis dentalis/inaequicostata* (SI Appendix, Section VII Tables S23, S26 and S27). At the same time, maximum diameter increases over time for all the examined portions including apical ones (SI Appendix, Section VII Fig. S6; Tables S30-32), and such an increase is mirrored by significant increase in the minimum diameter of medial pieces (SI Appendix, Section VII Fig. S6; Table S33). Length of entire shells also increases over time (SI Appendix, Section VII...
Tables S28 and S35, Fig. S6). However, no difference in length in any layers between likely suspended apical pieces and pieces that are less likely to have been used in suspension (SI Appendix, Section VII Table S34).

The distribution of both distal and proximal fracture types significantly differs across layers (Tables S24-25 and S38-40). More in detail, rectilinear and irregular asymmetric fractures are the most frequent types among proximal fractures, and their presence tends to increase over time (SI Appendix, Section VII Table S36). Layer EII-I is associated with “notch”, “rectilinear cut”, and “flute-mouth” fractures and is segregated from all other layers in multivariate analyses. Correspondence Analysis also suggests a shift over time from irregular/symmetric and oblique fractures to irregular/asymmetric and rectilinear fractures in both distal and proximal fractures.

The distribution of remnant portions and that of potentially suspended pieces does not significantly differ from one layer to the other (SI Appendix, Section VII Table S35 and Tables S38-39). The distribution of distal fractures is significantly associated with the possibility of being worn in suspension in the whole of the stratigraphic sequence (SI Appendix, Section VII Table S41-43).

More specifically, rectilinear fractures are more frequent in potentially suspended pieces, while non-suspended pieces exhibit no distal fractures in any layers with the exception of two instances of rectilinear fracture in layer DI (SI Appendix, Section VII Tables S44-46). The relative proportion of different fracture types visibly changes over time (SI Appendix, Section VII Tables S47-53).

Diversity in proximal and distal fracture types steadily decreases over time, while diversity in remnant portion types tends to increase over time (SI Appendix, Section VII Fig. 9). Frequency seriation (SI Appendix, Section VII Fig. S8) suggests the progressive emergence of irregular asymmetric fractures become more abundant over time while irregular symmetric, notch, oblique, and flute-mouth fractures decrease in both apex and base. Proximal portions and entire shells are the least represented in the assemblage (SI Appendix, Section VII Fig. S8). It is interesting to note that,
for all traits, EII-I always exhibits an inverse tendency compared to that of previous and following layers.

**Fig 9 about here**

When layers are clustered based on measures of pairwise similarity (SI Appendix, Section VII Table S54; Fig. S9) EII-I always emerges as the outlier.

### 4.3 Gastropods and bivalves

In the archaic Uluzzian layer EIII gastropods and bivalves are scarce (n=40) and affected by high fragmentation (87.5%) and decalcification (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layers/Spit</th>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>NISP</th>
<th>Anthropogenic processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ochre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E III</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E II-I</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-D</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D II</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>Gastr.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biv.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Among all uncovered specimens the most outstanding one consists of a complete pierced specimen of *Tritia neriitea* (Table 4 and Fig. 10a). The cross-section exhibits traces of flacking on the outside surface (defined “interior wedging” by Tátá et al. 2014) that are consistent with perforation from the inside of the shell. A notch found on the edge of the shell (Fig. 10a) and the rounded rims of the pierced hole are compatible with repeater contact with a rope used to thread the bead.

**Fig 10 about here**

A decalcified fragment of *Callista chione*, a *Naticarius hebraeus* covered with concretions and two unidentified fragments are the only shells found in layer EII-I. The three holes identified on the specimen of *N. hebraeus* present with irregular contours and potential recent breakage of the edges, all of which point to post-depositional processes (Fig. 11a).

**Fig 11 about here**

Only two identified specimens have been retrieved in the transitional split E-D: a fragment of *Spondylus gaederopus* and a small shell of *Euspira catena*. These remains no longer show the periostracum and their sculptural features due to decalcification.

Layers DII and I (Upper Uluzzian) stand out for the large number of gastropods and bivalves. In particular *Tritia neritea* (n=31) and *Homalopoma sanguineum* (n=11) are the most abundant species in the assemblage. The taphonomic study (Table 2) identified traces of beach weathering on 90% of gastropods and traces of predation by other carnivores on ~9% of them. These results suggest that
the vast majority of gastropods were collected dead from beach shores, and they were not taken to
the cave to be consumed as food. The most represented species such as *T. neritea*, *H. sanguineum*,
*C. rustica* and *Ph. Turbinatus* present with regular sub-circular holes located near the shell aperture.
Notches were detected on hole rims, and edges were abraded probably due to use-wear (Fig.10 b-f).
The majority of notches are located in the IV (31%) and I (38%) quarters (Fig.12), and are
consistent with the use of *T. neritea* as suspended ornaments.

**Fig 12 about here**

Ochre was found inside three specimens (Fig. 12c), and five gastropods show heat cracks on their
external surface (Fig 12b).

Bivalves mostly consist of *Mytilis galloprovincialis*, *Glycymeris* sp., and *Lembulus pella*. The first
species is represented by fragments and hinges. Two broken hinges of *Glycymeris* sp. exhibit partial
holes on the umbos although fragmentation makes it impossible to discriminate between anthropic
and natural taphonomic processes. Specimens of *L. pella* consist instead of entire valves.

Taphonomic analysis based on all fragments and the small amount of complete specimens support
the conclusion that almost 70% of bivalve remains were abraded by sea waves on the shore.
Furthermore, all valves of *L. pella* show perfectly round and bevelled holes, both signs of drilling
by mollusc predators. Nevertheless, notches and irregular flaking are once again visible on the
edges of drilled holes (Fig. 13a-c), both of which are consistent with use-wear (Fig. 13b-c). These
traces, however, are not coherent with drilling perforation operated by other molluscs (Fig.13d).
The notches are located near the umbo (quarter IV, 32%) and on the edge above it (quarter III, 27%)
(Fig.12). We therefore suggest that these naturally pierced shells were deliberately chosen to be
used as beads by humans. In addition, some red dusty substance (possibly ochre) was found on the
external surface of one of the valves (Fig.11d).

**Fig 13 about here**
5. Discussion

The ornamental shell assemblage of Grotta del Cavallo is mostly characterised by a large amount of scaphopods which are present across the entire archaeological sequence and abound in the uppermost layers, where gastropods and bivalves are also recorded. Among gastropods *Tritia neritea* is the most frequently recorded species, while *Lembulus pella* dominants among bivalves.

5.1 Bead making at Grotta del Cavallo

As far as tusk shells are concerned, the results of our multidisciplinary study point to an anthropogenic action both in terms of their collection and manufacture. As attested by directly dating results (Benazzi et al. 2011), and micropaleontological and Sr isotope analyses, the archaeological specimens presented here are not fossil shells deriving from sedimentary outcrops located in site surroundings, but rather consist of shells collected from beach shores at the same time as the Uluzzian occupation of Grotta del Cavallo. The distance between Grotta del Cavallo and the coast-line was then about 12 km, enough to exclude any possible natural origin for the occurrence of tusk shells inside the cave (*Appendix, Section VIII* and Fig S10).

These molluscs live in the sandy seabed below the intertidal zone, down to relatively great depths (*Appendix, Section IX*). Therefore, they were most likely collected by the Uluzzian groups on the beaches of shores that today are submerged. The collection of scaphopods by prehistoric people for feeding purposes is generally rejected, considering their small size and their very low nutritional value (Bar-Yosef Mayer 2008).

Data resulting from our experimental and statistical tests support a human origin also for manufacture, and experimental results reveal a possible association between scaphopod portioning and type of fracture. Nevertheless, we agree with Vanhaeren and d’Errico (2001) and Taborin (1993) that no specific fracture can be univocally associated with anthropogenic action. Although intentionality cannot be definitely proven for any rectilinear fracture uncovered in archaeological
assemblages, this kind of fracture is abundant in the dataset from Grotta del Cavallo, while it is considerably rarer among experimental samples generated through trampling or in shells collected on the present-day beaches, as already pointed out by previous research (Vanhaeren and d'Errico 2001). The only traces that surely correlate with human intervention are sawing cut-marks. In the assemblage of Cavallo cut-marks were identified only on one specimen from layer D because of the general bad state of preservation of all shells. In both layers E and D at Cavallo, however, a particular notch-shaped fracture is documented, which, based on our experimental results and on data from the literature (Vanhaeren and d’Errico, 2001), can still be related to sawing. The other types of fractures (in particular asymmetric and symmetric irregular fractures) are less diagnostic because they can be also produced by trampling and other post-depositional processes. Notch and flute-mouth fractures can also occur during suspension, due to the contact among beads (Álvarez Fernández, 2006). In this light, the presence of red coloring substances on the shells exhibiting flute-mouth and notch fractures becomes more informative. These characteristics are reminiscent of the ochred shell from Riparo Broion, also displaying a notch fracture (Appendix, Section X, Fig. S12).

Results also support change over time in the most represented distal and proximal fracture types. Irregular asymmetric and rectilinear fractures increase their presence over time and become the most represented ones. In earlier phases, notch, oblique, and irregular symmetric fractures are more frequent. Diversity is generally high in the whole of the sequence (especially in distal fractures). Nevertheless, a tendency towards higher homogeneity and “standardization” of fracture types can be ascertained over time (lowest diversity in DI), with a preference for rectilinear ones. This evidence may be also due to a change in manufacturing techniques (i.e. percussion technique in earliest and bending in recent phases respectively) or to different post-depositional processes.

Dimensional analysis shows a generalised increase in complete shell size and maximum diameter of all portions over time. This could be due to a change in catchment preference guided by a selective pressure for bigger shells; or it could relate to change in environmental conditions, despite data are
still scarce to support this hypothesis. Relations between some environmental factors and morphological variations (e.g. body size) are known for the most common benthic molluscs in the Mediterranean (e.g. Mamouridis et al. 2011; Peharda et al. 2017), but currently are not available for Antalis specimens. Despite the increase of entire shells over time, length of distal pieces does not change and may reflect a choice aimed to obtain standardised beads. Although the level of standardisation exhibited by the Magdalenian parure of Saint Germaine de la Rivière in France (Vanhaeren and d’Errico 2001) is not reached. In the assemblage found at Cavallo there are also entire tusks that are suitable for suspension, suggesting that this use might have involved beads of different size. The type of tusk remnant portions tends to become more diverse over time. Only layer EII-I exhibits a different distribution, displaying a predominance of medial portions consistently associated with a large variety of fracture types (in both apex and base). Furthermore, the presence of short apical portions in unit D often associated with rectilinear fractures at the base of the apical portion, could suggest a manufacturing process in situ. They seem to illustrate the systematic breakage of the apexes by bending to obtain beads easily and quickly.

As far as gastropods and bivalves are concerned, 13.2% of the total NISP exhibits traces of anthropic manipulation for ornamental purposes. Nevertheless, shell collection for dietary purpose can be confidently excluded for most gastropods and bivalves, because of their small size and because they were collected dead on beaches, as suggested by taphonomic analysis (Bosch et al. 2015; Colonese et al. 2011; Stiner 1999; Stiner 2014; Stiner et al. 2013; Vanhaeren and d’Errico 2006).

Tritia neritea is the most frequent species among gastropods (37.5% of which exhibits signs of perforation) followed by Homalopoma sanguineum (18.2% of which is perforated). Pierced specimens show a high degree of standardisation in perforation techniques. Holes are consistently located near the shell aperture, man-made flaking is always found on the outer surface
of the shell, and use-wear abrasion is consistently found on the lips near the aperture. These data are consistent with those observed in our experimental collection, even though further tests are required to better understand the exact method of perforation.

Bivalves seem to be less frequently chosen as adornment objects, evidence of which can be only found in the latest Uluzzian phase (layer DI). This might be due to the great incidence of alimentary species among bivalves, such as *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, *Glycymeris nummaria* and *Callista chione*. Anthropogenic traces can be detected on the 10 remains attributed to *Lembulus pella*, even if no intentional perforation can be identified. All specimens present with circular holes with bevelled edges that can be attributed to predator gastropods. At the same time, the best preserved shells also exhibit notches located near the umbo that are consistent with deliberate suspension. The opportunistic use of natural holes is commonly documented in archaeological contexts (Bar-Yosef Mayer et al. 2009; Cabral and Martins 2016).

All the above mentioned results support human involvement in collection, transportation, and modification (the latter for a part of the assemblage) of the shells uncovered at Grotta del Cavallo. If pierced specimens and intentionally broken or used tusks can be confidently associated with an ornamental role, unmodified shells can be interpreted as raw materials waiting to be used in bead production. Since natural accumulation of shells can be excluded, the occurrence of unpierced items which are not suitable for consumption, tusk shells which are not suitable for suspension, and waste products suggests that a systematic manufacturing process was taking place at the site.

Considering the clustered distribution of shells illustrated above, the presence of an adornment manufacturing area could be proposed for the most recent phase. This area was likely located in square H11 and, to a lesser extent, in square H7, where shells have been retrieved. In the oldest layers, shells were found in a different area of the cave. Here the retrieval of tusk distal portions not suitable for suspension is also documented, and is also possible to hypothesize breakage *in situ*, even if with lower levels of intensity and standardization.
5.2 Bead making in the Uluzzian

When looking at the other main Uluzzian sites found in Italy and Greece (e.g., Grotta della Cala, Klissoura Cave, Riparo Broion), we observe a uniform scenario exclusively characterized by shell ornaments, among which tusk shells are usually prevalent (Fiocchi 1998; Stiner 2010; Peresani et al. 2019a). Such a composition of ornamental assemblages testifies to the existence of a shared and widespread tradition across the Mediterranean. Clearly recurrent features and marked similarity between sites imply either common ancestry or cumulative mechanisms of cultural transmission and diffusion, processes that are commonly accepted for the Protoaurignacian (Vanhaeren and d’Errico 2006; Stiner et al. 2013).

At Riparo Broion (Northern Italy), where the Uluzzian layers (SU 1f and 1g) have been recently dated to 44.4 – 42.8 ka cal BP, a few finished shell beads have been retrieved (Appendix, Section X, Fig. S12-13. These consist of five scaphopods (4 Antalis vulgaris and 1 Antalis denticus/inaequicostata) and a pierced gastropod (Teodoxus fluvialis; Peresani et al. 2019a). All tusk beads show clear signs of anthropogenic manipulation, documented by the occurrence of cut-marks on a specimen and well-developed suspension wear-traces on the whole of the sample (Peresani et al. 2019a). These beads are notably constrained in their size range, when compared with those from Cavallo. Noteworthy is the presence of notch fractures that can be related to suspension (Appendix, Section X, Fig. S13). In addition, the gastropod shows traces of intentional perforation and suspension (Peresani et al. 2019a). The distance of Riparo Broion from possible fossil outcrops and/or the coast suggests that the shells were brought to the site as finished products.

Moving to southern Italy, several mollusks (n=78) were found in the Uluzzian layers of Grotta della Cala. These specimens belong to 25 different taxa (classes Gasteropoda, Bivalvia, Scaphopoda; Fiocchi 1998). Among them there are six pierced gastropods (H. sanguineum and T. pellucida), two perforated bivalves (Glycimeris nummaria) and 24 scaphopods. At a preliminary analysis, tusk shells show rounded edges and seem to be all finished beads with probable suspension traces.
Klissoura Cave I (Peloponnesus, Greece) yielded 11 tusk shells and several gastropods, especially *Tritia neritea* (n=7), and a few bivalves. The predominance of tusks and *Tritia neritea* draws an interesting parallel with the uppermost layers of Grotta del Cavallo, especially considering the temporal overlap between the two contexts (Douka et al. 2014).

It is worth noting the marked resemblance between Uluzzian contexts and the Preaurignacian levels of Franchthi Cave (Peloponnesus, Greece) sealed by IC tephra (Farrand 2000; Fitzsimmons et al. 2013). Here a number of shells has been retrieved, but their poor state of preservation did not allow researchers to ascertain use or the presence of perforation (Perlès 2019). The assemblage at Franchthi Cave mostly consists of inedible tusk shells and *Tritia neritea*, like in the case of Klissoura and Grotta del Cavallo DII-DI, both of which are used for ornament making in the following phases (Perlès 2019).

When ornaments of all Uluzzian contexts are considered at once a general trend over time can be identified in assemblage composition. The earliest phases (Cavallo layers EIII and EII-I, Broion) are characterized by scaphopods and rare pierced gastropods, whilst later phases (Cavallo layers DII and DI, Klissoura, Cala and maybe also Franchthi) show an increase in richness of species used for ornament production. Among them tusk shells are the most abundant ones, followed by gastropods (especially *Tritia neritea*). Among them *H. sanguineum* is marginally represented, while archaeological deposits dated to the Early Upper Palaeolithic and Upper Palaeolithic of Europe gastropods testify to a marked preference for this species, which was consistently included in personal ornamental assemblages and exchanged over long distance across the whole of the continent (Alvarez-Fernández 2006; Vanhaaren and d'Errico 2006; Peresani et al. 2019b). As far as bivalves are concerned, they seem to be considered less relevant until the latest Uluzzian phases.

Ornament assemblage size in Uluzzian sites is also relevant, when compared with the coeval Chatelperronian, the only European techno-complex to have yielded conspicuous ornamental assemblages, composed by bone and ivory pendants as well as very sporadic shells (Zilhão 2007). Their size, however, is considerably smaller than Uluzzian assemblages. The bulk of the
Chatelperronian ornaments are from Grotte du Renne (n=35, d’Errico et al. 1998), where uncertain integrity of the stratigraphic sequence made it difficult to accurately reconstruct the cultural provenance of some items (White 2002; Higham et al. 2010; but see contra Caron et al. 2011; Hublin et al. 2012).

The other Chatelperronian sites yielded only a few ornaments (Zilhão 2007), but many of these deposits, such as Grotte des Fées, Roc de Combe and Trou Magrite, suffered from the intrusion of Aurignacian materials (Bordes and Labrot 1967; Rigaud 2001; Moreau 2003; Mellars et al. 2007; Zilhão et al. 2007). The occurrence of several tusk shells at Saint-Césaire is certainly of critical importance (d’Errico et al. 1998), but no other information is available on this material.

The other European transitional techno-complexes are characterized by sporadic findings (Kozlowski 1982; Hüle 1977; Zilhão 2007) which do not seem to support a pivotal role of nonperishable personal ornaments in the local material culture.

Also worthy of note is the complete lack of tusks in the Initial Upper Palaeolithic (Emiran) assemblages of the Levant, where gastropods and bivalves are the only species used for ornamental purposes. To date, the most ancient tusk beads of this region are from layer E-E2 (ca 42,000 cal BP; Kuhn et al. 2009) of Üçağızlı Cave (Turkey; Stiner et al. 2002; Campbell 2017), containing an early Ahmarian assemblage (Stiner et al. 2013). In this area other scaphopods have been recovered at Manot Cave and Kebara, inside contexts with mixed Ahmarian and Aurignacian materials. The presence of such shells is currently interpreted as the result of influence by groups bearing European Aurignacian cultural elements (Bar Yosef-Mayer 2019). This evidence confirms the differences existing between Uluzzian and IUP contexts and is consistent with the lack of Uluzzian-like techno-complexes in the Near East (Moroni et al. 2013).

The widespread occurrence of shell beads in Uluzzian contexts supports the emergence of a well-established technology of bead making in Mediterranean Europe already from 45 kya, well before the onset of Aurignacian ornament productions across Europe. Uluzzian groups in Italy and Greece shared the same ornament traditions, and Grotta del Cavallo is the archaeological context that best
explains this phenomenon. The Uluzzian sequence at this site yielded the most conspicuous amount
of shell beads ever found in European transitional contexts. Analysing change over time in this
assemblage made it possible to document the presence of finished products and unfinished by-
products, increasing standardisation, systematic choice and serial production, as well as evidence
for systematic ornament use, all of which fully cast Uluzzian bead making into the Upper
Palaeolithic, and push back the date for the beginning of systematic ornament use and production in
Europe to 45 kya.
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Fig. 1 Distribution of ornaments dated between 45-4X kya across transitional contexts in Europe (sites with debated cultural attribution are represented here, see Discussion chapter). Pie radius is proportional to the total size of ornamental assemblages, while colours indicate the relative proportion contributed by different classes of items. 1) Saint-Césaire, 2) Quinçay, 3) Roc de Combe, 4) Caune de Belvis, 5) Cova Foradada, 6) Roche au Loup, 7) Grotte du Trilobite, 8) Grotte des Fées, 9) Grotte du Renne, 10) Trou Magrite, 11) Ilsenhöle Ranis, 12) Willendorf II, 13) Riparo Broion, 14) Grotta della Cala, 15) Grotta del Cavallo, 16) Klissoura Cave, 17) Franchthi Cave, 18) Bacho Kiro, 19) Brynzeny I
Fig. 2 Selection of shells from Grotta del Cavallo. a) *Antalis* sp. b) *Tritia neritea*. c) *Antalis* sp. d) *Antalis* sp. e) *Tritia neritea*. f) *Homalopoma sanguineum*. g) *Columbella rustica*. h) *Phorcus turbinatus*. i) *Lembulus pella*. j) *Glycymeris* sp.
Fig. 3 Type of fractures. Classification according to the type of fracture on the experimental material: rectilinear (a); oblique (b); symmetric irregular (c); asymmetric irregular (d); flute-mouth (e); notch (f). The bar is 1 mm.
Fig 4 Fracture degrees. Flute-mouth fracture with degree 1 (a); flute-mouth fracture with degree 2 (b); flute-mouth fracture with degree 3 (c). The bar is 2 mm.

Fig 5 Waste products. Small apical portions interpreted as waste products.
Fig. 6 Traces of anthropogenic manipulation. Grotta del Cavallo. Layer DII – Tusk shell with cut marks (a) and experimental cut marks (b); Layer EIII - Tusk shell with a notch fracture showing well rounded (c) and polished edges (d); Layer EIII- Tusk shell showing a notch fracture with
flattened (e) and polished areas (f); Layer EIII. Polishes inside a notch fracture (g); polishes inside a notch fracture produced during suspension experiment with a leather string (h)

**Fig. 7** Tusk shells inserted one into another from Grotta del Cavallo. Tusk shells inserted one into another from layer EII-I (a), split E-D (b) and layer DII (c). While the external surface of the tusks looks weathered (d), the inner, protected trait shows its original aspect (e)

**Fig. 8** Ochred shells from Grotta del Cavallo. Layer EIII- Ochre associated to a notch fracture (a). Ochre and black residues on the external surface of a specimen (b). Ochre on the apical end of a tusk. The edge is rounded and polished (c). Layer EII-I- Ochre located inside the shell and longitudinally spread (d)
**Fig. 9** Diversity over time Graphs representing Gini-Simpson’s Diversity index (a) and their Numbers equivalent (b; following Jost 2006) across the sequence of examined layers at Grotta del Cavallo.
Fig. 10 Technological traces on gastropods from Cavallo. Layer EIII – Perforated *Tritia neritea* showing a notch consistent with suspension (a). Layer DII – Close-up of use-wear on the edge of the hole on a perforated *Phorcus turbinatus* (b). Layer DII - Rounded edges on perforated specimens of *Tritia neritea* (c-d). Layer DI - Perforation on a *Columbella rustica*, showing a notch and rounded edge (e). Layer DI - Sub-circular hole on a *Homalopoma sanguineum* (f)
Fig. 11 Layer EII-I - Post-depositional holes on a *Naticarius hebraeus* (a). Layer DI - Heat cracks on a *Tritia neritea* (b). Layer DI - Residues of red pigment located inside the hole of a *Tritia neritea* (c). Layer DI - Traces of red pigment near the hole of a *Lembulus pella* (d).

Fig. 12 Graphic representation of notches location on edges of pierced shell found at Grotta del Cavallo during the Upper Uluzzian (DII-DI).
**Fig 13** Layer DI - *L. pella* with a round drilled hole showing a notch in quarter IV (a). Layer DI - *L. pella* with drilled holes showing notches in quarter IV and irregular flaking (b-c). Layer EIII - Hole due to predation on a *Turritella* sp. (d)